



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/047,553	10/26/2001	George Lownes	MATP-616US	4175
23122	7590	10/10/2007		
RATNERPRESTIA			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 980			SHEPARD, JUSTIN E	
VALLEY FORGE, PA 19482-0980				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/10/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/047,553	LOWNES, GEORGE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Justin E. Shepard	2623	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Justin E. Shepard.

(3) Ken Nigon.

(2) Chris Kelley.

(4) Dennis Defino.

Date of Interview: 10/2/07.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dinwiddie and Kou.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant argued that the combination of Dinwiddie and Kou do not teach a device that tests a tuple on a smart card to determine whether the smart card contains a software update. The applicant suggested that claim be ammended to better define the tuple (and CIS) in the terms of the open cable standard, and the examiner agreed that this would require a new piece of art be found to address the limitation.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



CHRIS KELLEY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.